1

A New Global Mode of

Earth Deformation:

Seasonal Cycle Detected

Geoffrey Blewitt, 1* David Lavallée, 1 Peter Clarke, 2 Konstantin Nurutdinov 2

We have detected a global mode of Earth deformation that is predicted by theory. Precise positioning of GPS sites distributed worldwide reveals that in February to March the northern hemisphere compresses (and the southern hemisphere expands), such that sites near the North Pole move downward by 3.0 mm, and sites near the equator are pulled northwards by 1.5 mm. The opposite pattern of deformation occurs in August to September. We identify this pattern as the degree-one spherical harmonic response of an elastic Earth to increased winter loading of soil moisture, snow cover, and atmosphere. Data inversion shows the load moment's trajectory as a great circle traversing the continents, peaking at 6.9×10^{22} kg m near the North Pole in winter, indicating inter-hemispheric mass exchange of $1.0 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{16}$ kg.

This is the author's version of the work. It is posted here by permission of the AAAS for personal use, not for redistribution. The definitive version was published in *Science*, 294(5550), 2,342-2,345 (doi:10.1126/science.1065328).

¹Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology, and Seismological Laboratory, University of Nevada, Reno, NV 89557, USA. ²Department of Geomatics, University of Newcastle, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 7RU, UK.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed, E-mail: gblewitt@unr.edu

Redistribution of mass over Earth's surface generates changes in gravitational and surface forces that produces a stress response in the solid Earth, accompanied by characteristic patterns of surface deformation (1-3). Here we search for global deformation resulting from Earth's elastic response to a change in the "load moment" (a dipole moment), defined as the load center of mass vector multiplied by the load mass. This predicted degree-one spherical harmonic mode (1,4) has unique characteristics that distinguish it from tidal deformation. Our calculations predict that the known seasonal exchange of water and air between the northern and southern hemispheres (5-7) is of sufficient magnitude to force such a mode with annual period at the several-millimeter level, which ought to be detectable by modern geodetic techniques. Monitoring this mode should enable global characterization of the hydrological cycle through direct inversion of geodetic data, and enable determination of mechanical properties of Earth on the global scale.

Previous investigations in space geodesy have detected 10-mm level displacement of surface height in response to variation in atmospheric pressure (8) and large-scale terrestrial water storage (9). Such results show statistically significant correlation between observed site position variations with model predictions. While promising, the residual discrepancies between data and models remain at least as large as the predicted signal. Apart from current uncertainties in modeling ground water storage, another limitation is the level of noise in globally referenced site position data (9). We mitigate these problems by seeking a deformation mode with a theoretical functional form (allowing for inversion) and large-scale spatio-temporal coherence (enhancing the signal to noise).

Change in Earth's shape due to the gravitational and pressure stresses of surface loading is theoretically characterized by spherical harmonic potential perturbations and load Love

numbers (2). Load Love number theory is fundamental to the Green's function approach to loading models (I), which has facilitated numerical computation of Earth deformation due to arbitrary load distributions (3). Unlike tidal theory, loading theory includes a degree-one deformation generated by movement of the load center of mass with respect to the solid Earth center of mass (I,I,I).

Let us define CM as the center of mass of the solid Earth plus the load, and CE as the center of mass of the solid Earth only. CM moves undisturbed in inertial space as the load is redistributed. Conservation of linear momentum requires that redistribution of surface mass displaces CE with respect to CM by the amount (4):

$$\Delta \mathbf{r}_{\rm CE} = -M_{\rm L} \Delta \bar{\mathbf{r}}_{\rm L} / M_{\oplus} = -\mathbf{m} / M_{\oplus} \tag{1}$$

where $M_{\oplus} = 6.0 \times 10^{24} \, \mathrm{kg}$ is Earth system's mass, M_{L} is net mass of load that has been transported over Earth's surface, and $\Delta \overline{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is the change in center of mass of the transported load in the CE frame. We define the "load moment" vector $\mathbf{m} = M_{\mathrm{L}} \Delta \overline{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathrm{L}}$ to emphasize the characteristic of the load that forces this mode of deformation (and to which the data are sensitive). To first order, the displacement of CE creates a tide-raising potential $V_1(\phi,\lambda)$ in the CE frame at latitude ϕ and longitude λ :

$$V_1(\phi, \lambda) = -g\hat{\mathbf{h}}.\Delta\mathbf{r}_{CE} = g\hat{\mathbf{h}}.\mathbf{m}/M_{\oplus}$$
 (2)

where $\hat{\mathbf{h}}(\phi, \lambda)$ is the unit vector pointing locally upwards, and g is acceleration due to gravity. Equation (2) is a pure degree-one spherical harmonic function, to which load Love number theory is directly applicable. We assume it is reasonable to ignore the higher degree harmonics because they are orthogonal to the degree-one harmonics, and would not significantly bias the results for a well-distributed global network (11). Solutions for surface displacements in the CE

frame have been derived in complex spherical harmonic form (4), however we have derived solutions in concise vector form:

$$\Delta \bar{s}_h = h_1' V_1 / g = h_1' \hat{\mathbf{h}} \cdot \mathbf{m} / M_{\oplus}$$

$$\Delta \bar{s}_I = l_1' \hat{\mathbf{l}} \cdot \nabla V_1 / g = l_1' \hat{\mathbf{l}} \cdot \mathbf{m} / M_{\oplus}$$
(3)

where $\Delta \bar{s}_h$ is upward displacement, $\Delta \bar{s}_l$ is displacement in any lateral direction $\hat{\bf l}$, and surface gradient operator $\nabla = \hat{\bf q} \hat{\bf d}_{\varphi} + \hat{\bf \lambda} (1/\cos\phi) \hat{\bf d}_{\lambda}$. We use load Love numbers modeled by Farrell (1), $h'_1 = -0.290$ and $l'_1 = 0.113$, which are specified in the CE frame.

This global mode of deformation is unique, in that it compresses the hemisphere centered on the load moment, and expands the opposite hemisphere, such that a perfect sphere deforms to another perfect (but strained) sphere of identical diameter. The surface everywhere stretches laterally towards the pole of the load moment. We have discovered that this mode has the peculiar property that there exist reference frames in which the surface deformation field is either purely vertical or purely horizontal. Such an extreme range of equivalent kinematics underscores the need for reference frame consistency when comparing data with models.

Here we use the center of figure frame (CF), defined as having no-net translation with respect to the 3-D surface displacement field. This is an appropriate frame to describe deformations because only relative surface displacement data contribute to the solution, the CE frame is not directly observable, and the CM frame accuracy is limited by model errors in non-gravitational satellite accelerations (12). Transformation to the CF frame is accomplished by subtracting from the displacement field the average displacement $\Delta \bar{\mathbf{r}}_{CF}$ in the CE frame (4), which is derived by surface integration of equation (3)

$$\Delta \bar{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathrm{CF}} = \frac{1}{2} (h_1' + 2l_1') \mathbf{m} / M_{\oplus}$$
 (4)

where $\Delta \overline{\mathbf{r}}_{CF}$ is CF variation in the CE frame. The vector form of equation (3) readily allows us to express displacements in the CF frame:

$$\Delta \widetilde{s}_h = \Delta \overline{s}_h - \hat{\mathbf{h}} \cdot \Delta \overline{\mathbf{r}}_{CF} = -\frac{2}{3} (l_1' - h_1') \hat{\mathbf{h}} \cdot \mathbf{m} / M_{\oplus}$$

$$\Delta \widetilde{s}_l = \Delta \overline{s}_l - \hat{\mathbf{l}} \cdot \Delta \overline{\mathbf{r}}_{CF} = +\frac{1}{3} (l_1' - h_1') \hat{\mathbf{l}} \cdot \mathbf{m} / M_{\oplus}$$
(5)

Thus, by analogy with equation (2), Farrell's load Love numbers in the CF frame are $\tilde{l}_1' = \frac{1}{3}(l_1' - h_1') = 0.134$ and $\tilde{h}_1' = -2\tilde{l}_1' = -0.268$. Hence, we can express site displacements in the CF frame $\Delta \tilde{\mathbf{s}} = (\Delta \tilde{s}_x, \Delta \tilde{s}_y, \Delta \tilde{s}_z)^T$ by the matrix equation:

$$\Delta \tilde{\mathbf{s}} = \tilde{l}_1' \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{diag}[+1, +1, -2] \mathbf{G} \, \mathbf{m} / M_{\oplus}$$
 (6)

where **G** is the 3×3 matrix that rotates geocentric into topocentric displacements (east, north, and up). Through measurement of site displacements globally, we can invert equation (6) to solve for the components of load moment $\mathbf{m} = (m_x, m_y, m_z)^T$. Alternatively, if the load variation is assumed or known from some independent data source or model, then inverting (6) for the degree one load Love number can test Earth's mechanical properties.

We applied this theory to 5 years of GPS data, acquired by 66 stations of the International GPS Service (IGS) network (13). Every week at Newcastle's IGS Global Network Associate Analysis Center, free-network solutions in the CM frame were analyzed to produce site coordinate time series in the CF frame (14). The time series were de-trended to remove plate tectonic motion, accounting for correlations between velocity and annual signals (15). The resulting time series have a root mean square of ~3 mm (horizontal) and ~7 mm (vertical). Equation (6) was inverted by weighted least squares to solve for the load moment every week.

The load moment results (Fig. 1) show annual oscillations, especially in the z (polar) direction, corresponding to net seasonal mass transport between the northern and southern

hemispheres. The maximum downward deformation (Fig. 2) of 3.0 mm points close to the North Pole during February to March, and the South Pole during August to September. Corresponding lateral deformation of 1.5 mm is observed near the equator at these times. The load moment migrates through the year, following the approximate surface trajectory of a great circle (Fig. 3). The maximum load moment is 6.9×10^{22} kg m in the February to March period. The minimum load is observed when it rapidly crosses the equator southwards during May over South America, and northwards during November over Indonesia. We used our load moment time series to produce an empirical seasonal model, estimating amplitudes and phases of annual and semi-annual load moment variations (Table 1, Figs 1 and 3). The annual *z* component of the load moment peaks at 6.6×10^{22} kg m towards the end of February and August. The empirical seasonal model and equation (6) comprise a predictive calibration model to reduce annual signals in geodetic data (15), say for plate tectonics (although more regional effects may dominate individual site time series). The statistics from fitting the time series (Fig. 1) indicate that the precision of our weekly load moment estimates is 3.6×10^{22} kg m (1 standard deviation).

Our results provide constraints on models of mass redistribution. Taking extreme examples, a load moment of 6.6×10^{22} kg m would be produced by net transport of (1) 0.5×10^{16} kg from the South Pole to the North Pole; (2) 1.4×10^{16} kg uniformly distributed from one hemisphere to the other; or (3) 1.1×10^{16} kg from the oceans to land at high latitudes. We suggest that any reasonable model should therefore have a total seasonal transported mass within 40% of 1.0×10^{16} kg. Secondly, models should predict that the load peaks near the poles in their respective late-winter seasons. Thirdly, models should predict that the load's trajectory follows an approximate great circle over the continents (Fig. 3).

From remote sensing it is known that the mass of snow in the northern hemisphere peaks during February to March at $0.3\times10^{16}\,\mathrm{kg}$ (5, 16). Recent analysis in atmospheric research (6) confirms earlier interpretations (7) on the existence of inter-hemispheric oscillations in atmospheric mass at the level of $0.4\times10^{16}\,\mathrm{kg}$, which appears to be driven in part by anomalous cooling over snow covered areas, particularly over Siberia and Canada (17). Our results therefore suggest that the observed pattern of deformation is dominated by winter ground water storage enhanced by atmospheric pressure. Assuming an upper bound on the net redistributed mass at $1.4\times10^{16}\,\mathrm{kg}$, we infer the non-snow component of winter ground water to be $<0.7\times10^{16}\,\mathrm{kg}$.

The load's trajectory over the continents (in approximately the y-z plane) is consistent with the land's ability to sustain loads (unlike the ocean's tendency to rapidly approach equilibrium). An interesting feature of the load moment time series is the asymmetric pattern of z oscillations (Fig. 1) and the rapid southward equatorial crossing of mass (Fig. 3) in May. This is consistent with rapid water runoff, which is known to peak during late springtime in the northern hemisphere (18). A small y component of load moment also appears during the transition seasons traversing regions of known intense hydrological loading (9) in south-east Asia and South America (Fig. 2). An anomaly in the $\pm y$ direction is apparent during 1996/1997, immediately preceding the 1997/1998 El Niño event. Possible mechanisms that might enhance the y component include an equatorial oscillation in (non-steric) sea level across the Pacific (driven by wind stress), and anomalous monsoon precipitation over land.

To conclude, we have detected a global-scale mode of Earth deformation that we have identified as the response of an elastic Earth to redistribution of surface load, specifically the degree one spherical harmonic mode that theoretically corresponds to change in the load

moment. This mode compresses one hemisphere, and expands the opposite hemisphere in such a manner that it does not change Earth's overall shape, but nevertheless stretches its surface and so affects site coordinates. In Earth's center of figure frame, the poles appear to be displaced downwards by 3.0 mm during their respective winters, and the equator appears to move towards the winter pole by 1.5 mm. Our novel inversion procedure produces a load moment time series with an annual signal in Earth's polar direction with amplitude 6.6×10^{22} kg m. Stacking reveals the load moment following the approximate trajectory of a great circle traversing the continents, peaking at 6.9×10^{22} kg m near the North Pole in winter. These results are consistent with seasonal loading of land surfaces by fluids, corresponding to an annual mass exchange of $1.0 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{16}$ kg between the hemispheres.

References and Notes

- 1. W. E. Farrell, Rev. Geophys. and Space Phys. 10, 761, (1972).
- 2. E. W. Grafarend, J. Engels, P. Varga, J. Geodesy 72, 11 (1997).
- 3. T. van Dam, J. M. Wahr, Phys. Chem. Earth 23, 1077 (1998).
- 4. A. S. Trupin, M. F. Meier, J. M. Wahr, *Geophys. J. Int.* 108, 1 (1992).
- B. F. Chao, W. P. O'Connor, A. T. C. Chang, D. K. Hall, J. L. Foster, *J. Geophys. Res.* 92, 9,415 (1987).
- 6. Z. Guan, T. Yamagata, Geophys. Res. Lett. 28, 263 (2001).
- 7. K. Trenberth, J. Geophys. Res. 86, 5,238 (1981).
- 8. T. van Dam, G. Blewitt, M. B. Heflin, J. Geophys. Res. 99, 23,939 (1994).
- 9. T. van Dam et al., Geophys. Res. Lett. 28, 651 (2001).
- 10. M. Greff-Lefftz, H. Legros, *Geophys. Journ. Int.* **131**, 699 (1997).
- 11. For deformations up to degree n, a network can be considered "well-distributed" if neighboring stations everywhere are spaced much less than $180^{\circ}/n$, which for n=1 implies multiple stations in arbitrary hemispheres.
- 12. J. L. Chen, C. R. Wilson, R. J. Eanes, R. S. Nerem, J. Geophys. Res. 104, 2,683 (1999).
- 13. Information on relevant GPS station data is provided at www.science.org.
- 14. P. Davies, G. Blewitt, *J. Geophys. Res.* **105**, 11,083 (2000).
- 15. G. Blewitt, D. Lavallée, J. Geophys. Res., in press.
- 16. A. T. C. Chang, J. L. Foster, D. K. Hall, Rem. Sens. Lett., Int. J. of Rem. Sens. 11, 167 (1990).
- 17. J. Cohen, K. Saito, D. Entekhabi, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 28, 299 (2001).
- Fekete, B.M., C. J. Vörösmarty, W. Grabs, WMO-Global Runoff Data Centre Rep. 22 (Koblenz, Germany, 1999).
- 19. We thank J. Wahr and V. Dehant for comments, and IGS for providing data. Supported by DOE grant DE-FC08-98NV12081, NASA grant SENH99-0325-0015, NERC grant GR3/11976, and a UNR International Activities Grant. We thank NSF for supporting continuation of this research.

Table 1 Empirical seasonal load moment model. Amplitude and phases are defined by $A_i \cos[2\pi f_i(t-t_0)-\Phi_i]$ where t_0 is 1 January and f_i is frequency. All parameter values were derived by weighted least squares using the load moment time series (Fig. 1). The 1-standard deviation uncertainties are propagated from scaled coordinate errors (14), but do not account for inadequacies of the empirical model, for example, due to inter-annual variability.

	Annual	Annual	Semi-Annual	Semi-Annual
	Amplitude A_1	Phase Φ_1	Amplitude A_2	Phase Φ_2
	$(10^{22}\mathrm{kg}\mathrm{m})$	(degrees)	$(10^{22}\mathrm{kg}\mathrm{m})$	(degrees)
m_{x}	2.0 ± 0.2	86 ± 3	0.7 ± 0.2	69 ± 13
m_y	2.9 ± 0.2	345 ± 3	0.6 ± 0.2	301 ± 13
m_z	6.6 ± 0.1	56 ± 1	1.5 ± 0.1	207 ± 5

- Fig. 1. Estimates of load moment components. Weekly estimates (red) are for (A) x direction towards the intersection of the Greenwich meridian and the equator, (B) y direction, and (C) z direction towards the North Pole. Superimposed is the empirical model (blue) given by Table 1. The weighted root mean square of the time series before/after subtracting the empirical model are (in 10²² kg m) 3.9/3.7, 4.2/3.4, and 5.5/2.9, corresponding to square root variance reductions of 1.2, 2.5, and 4.7.
- Fig. 2. Observed seasonal variation in Earth deformation. The degree-one surface deformation field uses two-month stacked solutions for (top to bottom) December-January, February-March, April-May, June-July, August-September, and October-November. The left panel refers to vertical deformation, and the right panel to the magnitude of horizontal deformation. Horizontal displacements always point towards the location of maximum downward displacement.
- Fig. 3. Trajectory of the load moment as it travels through the year. Each load moment (solid red) is the two-monthly weighted-average of the weekly load moments, stacked over 5 years. The radius of each symbol is proportional to the magnitude. The maximum load moment is 6.9×10^{22} kg m in February-March. The empirical seasonal model (open blue, Table 1) is also plotted for each week.

For www.science.org:

Supplementary Table.

Global GPS station network used for this analysis. A total of 66 stations are listed, with their latitude, longitude, data span analyzed (start date, end date, and span in years), and the percentage of potential data during the span that were collected, analyzed, and accepted. The following criteria were applied to select these stations: (1) at least 104 weekly solutions, (2) analyzed by at least 3 IGS Analysis Centers, (3) time span of at least 2.5 years, and (4) no step functions in the time series related to equipment changes. Finally, a convenient 5-year window of 1996.0 to 2001.0 was selected for the final results, which ensured that no week had a less than 30 contributing stations (see note 11).

Station Lat Lon Start End Span Percent 48.390 236.513 1995.872 2001.008 5.14 97 ALBH 45.956 281.929 1995.642 2001.046 5.40 90 ALGO AREQ -16.466 288.507 1995.642 2001.046 5.40 92 ASC1 -7.951 345.588 1996.389 2000.932 4.54 97 AUCK -36.603 174.834 1996.044 2001.046 5.00 97 50.608 1996.600 2001.046 4.45 90 BAHR 26.209 4.640 285.919 1995.661 1999.935 4.27 56 BOGT BOR1 52.277 17.073 1995.834 2001.046 5.21 56 32.370 295.304 1995.642 2001.046 5.40 97 BRMU 50.798 4.359 1995.910 2000.989 5.08 40 BRUS -66.283 110.520 1995.661 2001.046 5.39 71 CAS1 -43.956 183.434 1995.949 2001.046 5.10 99 CHAT CHUR 58.759 265.911 1996.754 2001.046 4.29 50 17.757 295.416 1996.351 2001.046 4.70 85 CR01 -68.57777.973 1995.661 2001.046 5.39 78 DAV1 DGAR -7.27072.370 1996.907 2001.046 4.14 96 DRAO 49.323 240.375 1995.642 2001.046 5.40 98 EISL -27.148 250.617 1995.680 2001.046 5.37 72 -3.877 321.574 1995.642 2001.046 5.40 98 FORT GALA -0.743 269.696 1997.789 2001.046 3.26 64 GODE 39.022 283.173 1998.153 2001.046 2.89 96 35.425 243.111 1997.290 2001.046 3.76 76 GOL2 35.425 243.111 1995.642 1999.494 3.85 98 GOLD GUAM 13.589 144.868 1995.642 2001.046 5.40 95 HOB2 -42.805 147.439 1995.757 2001.046 5.29 84 27.687 1996.869 2001.046 4.18 65 HRAO -25.89013.021 77.570 1995.853 2001.046 5.19 81 IISC

```
IRKT
        52.219 104.316 1995.929 2001.046 5.12 94
KELY
        66.987 309.055 1996.217 2001.046 4.83 87
KERG
       -49.351
                70.256 1995.642 2001.046 5.40 90
KIRU
        67.857
                20.968 1996.217 2001.046 4.83 86
KIT3
        39.135
                66.885 1995.642 2001.046 5.40 74
KOSG
        52.178
                 5.810 1995.642 2001.046 5.40 97
         5.252 307.194 1995.642 2001.046 5.40 80
KOUR
         8.722 167.730 1996.447 2001.046 4.60 83
KWJ1
LHAS
        29.657
                91.104 1995.738 2001.046 5.31 65
MAG0
        59.576 150.770 1998.210 2001.046 2.84 95
        27.764 344.367 1997.233 2001.046 3.81 79
MAS1
MATE
        40.649
                16.704 1995.680 2001.046 5.37 85
        30.681 255.985 1995.872 2001.046 5.17 94
MDO1
        60.217
                24.395 1995.699 2001.046 5.35 91
METS
        19.801 204.544 1996.830 2001.046 4.22 94
MKEA
NLIB
        41.772 268.425 1995.738 2001.046 5.31 81
NTUS
         1.346 103.680 1997.693 2000.740 3.05 86
        78.930
                11.865 1998.344 2001.046 2.70 76
NYA1
        78.930
                11.865 1995.757 2001.046 5.29 79
NYAL
OHIG
       -63.321 302.100 1995.757 2001.046 5.29 53
PERT
       -31.802 115.885 1995.834 2001.046 5.21 96
                74.694 1996.198 2001.046 4.85 80
POL2
        42.680
POTS
        52.379
                13.066 1995.719 2001.046 5.33 95
        64.139 338.045 1996.121 2001.046 4.93 96
REYK
       -33.150 289.331 1995.642 2001.046 5.40 95
SANT
        31.100 121.200 1995.834 2001.046 5.21 83
SHAO
        47.595 307.322 1995.680 2001.046 5.37 99
STJO
THU1
        76.537 291.212 1995.642 2001.046 5.40 89
                18.938 1995.642 2001.046 5.40 45
TROM
        69.663
        36.106 140.087 1995.642 2001.046 5.40 98
TSKB
USNO
        38.919 282.934 1997.961 2001.046 3.09 97
        36.133 138.362 1995.699 2001.046 5.35 91
USUD
VILL
        40.444 356.048 1996.217 2001.046 4.83 75
        60.751 224.778 1996.811 2001.046 4.24 91
WHIT
                12.879 1996.083 2001.046 4.96 98
WTZR
        49.144
WUHN
        30.532 114.357 1996.849 2001.046 4.20 96
        62.031 129.681 1998.229 2001.046 2.82 77
YAKZ
ZECK
        43.788
                41.565 1998.172 2001.046 2.87 89
                36.759 1995.891 2001.046 5.16 73
ZWEN
        55.699
```





